

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 436 280

PS 028 129

AUTHOR Gross, Deborah; Fogg, Louis; Webster-Stratton, Carolyn; Grady, Jane

TITLE Parent Training with Low-Income Multi-ethnic Parents of Toddlers.

SPONS AGENCY National Inst. of Nursing Research (NIH), Bethesda, MD.

PUB DATE 1999-04-16

NOTE 14p.; Paper presented at the Biennial Meeting of the Society for Research in Child Development (Albuquerque, NM, April 15-18, 1999).

CONTRACT RO1-NR04085

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Behavior Change; Behavior Problems; Blacks; Caregiver Child Relationship; *Child Caregivers; Child Rearing; Comparative Analysis; Discipline; *Health Promotion; Hispanic Americans; Low Income Groups; Mothers; Parent Child Relationship; *Parent Education; *Parents; Preschool Education; Pretests Posttests; Program Effectiveness; Self Efficacy; Stress Variables; *Toddlers

IDENTIFIERS Disciplinary Styles

ABSTRACT

This study tested the effectiveness of parent training (PT) as a health promotion/prevention intervention. Participants were parents of toddlers enrolled in 11 urban day care centers serving low-income families of color. The 12-week intervention consisted of a video-based PT program with group discussion. Eleven centers were matched and assigned to one of four conditions: PT for parents only, PT for day care teachers only, PT for parents and teachers, and no intervention. Data were obtained pre-intervention, post-intervention, and at 6- and 12-month post-intervention intervals by means of parent and teacher reports, parent-child observations, and classroom observations. Dependent variables included parent and teacher self-efficacy, child behavior problems at home and in day care, parent discipline strategies, parent stress, teacher behavior, and quality of parent-toddler interactions. Preliminary findings included data from pre- to post-intervention for 158 study parents and 92 day care teachers. Findings indicated that PT parents reported less reliance on coercive discipline and gave fewer negative, indirect, and direct commands to toddlers during play than comparison parents. Children of PT parents used fewer aversive behaviors with their parents and demonstrated fewer classroom behavior problems than comparison children. Of children who exceeded cut-off scores on classroom behavior problems at pre-intervention, 80 percent of PT children fell below cut-off at post-intervention, compared to 40 percent of comparison children. Of children who exceeded cut-offs on observed child deviance during observed parent-child play, 100 percent of PT children fell below cut-off at post-intervention, compared to 50 percent of comparison children. PT teachers reported improvements in child care self-efficacy. (Contains 16 references.) (Author/KB)

Parent Training with Low-Income Multi-ethnic Parents of Toddlers
Deborah Gross, DNSc, RN; Louis Fogg, PhD;
Carolyn Webster-Stratton, PhD, RN and Jane Grady, PhD

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
 Office of Educational Research and Improvement
 EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Abstract

The purpose of this study is to test the effectiveness of parent training (PT) as a health promotion/prevention intervention with parents of toddlers (2-3 years old) enrolled in 11 urban day care centers serving low-income families of color. The intervention used was a 12-week video-based PT program with group discussion developed by Webster-Stratton.

The 11 centers were matched and assigned to one of 4 conditions: (1) PT for parents only, (2) PT for day care teachers only, (3) PT for parents and teachers, and (4) no intervention. Data were obtained pre-intervention, post-intervention, and at 6- and 12-months post-intervention using parent and teacher report, parent-child observations, and classroom observations. Dependent variables include parent and teacher self-efficacy, child behavior problems at home and in day care, parent discipline strategies, parent stress, teacher behavior, and quality of parent-toddler interactions.

Preliminary findings include data from pre- to post-intervention for 158 study parents (91% mothers) and 92 day care teachers. Data were analyzed using repeated measures MANOVA and chi-square. Parents who received PT reported less reliance on coercive discipline strategies ($p < .02$) and gave fewer negative ($p < .01$), indirect ($p < .01$) and direct commands ($p < .001$) to their toddlers during play than parents in the comparison groups. Children of parents who received PT also used fewer aversive behaviors with their parents ($p < .05$) and demonstrated fewer behavior problems in the classroom than comparison children ($p < .07$).

Of children who exceeded cut-off scores on classroom behavior problems at pre-intervention ($n = 21$), 80% of the PT children fell below cut-off at post-intervention compared to 40% of comparison children. Of children who exceeded cut-offs on observed child deviance during observed parent-child play (> 20 aversive + non-compliant behaviors in 15 minutes), 100% of PT children fell below cut-off at post-intervention compared to 50% of comparison children.

Teachers who received the PT intervention reported improvements in child care self-efficacy ($p < .02$). However, no changes were found in teachers' or children's behaviors following teacher intervention. Consumer satisfaction ratings were high; 100% of parents and teachers would "recommend" or "highly recommend" the program to others.

Preliminary results suggest that following PT, parents were less coercive and directive with their toddlers during play. The toddlers, in turn, used fewer aversive behaviors with their parents. The data also suggest that PT effects generalize to the day care classroom.

Presented at the Biennial Meeting of the Society for Research in Child Development, Albuquerque, NM, April 16, 1999. This research is supported by a grant from the National Institute for Nursing Research, #ROI NRO4085

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
 DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
 BEEN GRANTED BY

Deborah Gross

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
 INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Parent Training with Low-Income Multi-ethnic Parents of Toddlers

Deborah Gross, DNSc, RN, FAAN
Rush University College of Nursing

Louis Fogg, PhD
Rush Presbyterian St. Luke's Medical Center

Carolyn Webster-Stratton, PhD, RN, FAAN
University of Washington School of Nursing

Jane Grady, PhD
Rush Presbyterian St. Luke's Medical Center

This paper was presented at the Biennial Meeting of the Society for Research in Child Development, Albuquerque, NM, April 16, 1999. The research is supported by a grant from the National Institute for Nursing Research, #RO1 NRO4085.

Parent Training with Low-Income Multi-ethnic Parents of Toddlers

Parent training is a widely used, cost-effective method for increasing positive parenting behaviors and reducing children's negative behaviors (Kazdin, 1997; Briesmeister & Schaefer, 1998). However, previous studies on parent training effectiveness have largely focused on European-American parents of children over 3 years of age with antisocial behavior (Forehand & Kotchnick, 1996). The purpose of this study is to test the effectiveness of parent training as a health promotion/prevention intervention with parents of toddlers (2-3 years old) enrolled in 11 urban day care centers serving low-income families of color. The intervention used was a 12-week video-based parent training program with group discussion developed by Webster-Stratton (Gross, Fogg, & Tucker, 1995; Tucker, et al., 1998; Webster-Stratton, 1990). The data presented below are preliminary findings on 158 families enrolled from 1997-1998.

Participants and Settings. The 11 centers were matched on size, quality, racial/ethnic composition, and family income and assigned to one of four conditions: (1) parent training for parents only, (2) parent training for day care teachers only who were then instructed to teach the parents what they had learned, (3) parent training for parents and teachers in separate groups, and (4) no intervention. Based on ratings of environmental quality using the Early Childhood Environment Rating Scale (Harms & Clifford, 1980), all centers fell into the "adequate" to "good" range.

A purposive sample of 158 parents of 2 and 3 year old children and their children's day care teachers participated in the study. Most (91%) of the

participating parents were mothers. Mean parental age was 27.7 years. Mean parity was 2.29. Ninety-six percent of the parents were from minority racial/ethnic groups, primarily self-identified as African-American (56%) or Latino (32%). Description of the sample is presented in Table 1.

Methods. Data were obtained pre-intervention, post-intervention, and at 6- and 12- months post-intervention. Outcome data included parent-report, teacher-report, parent-child observations, and classroom observations. Dependent variables included parent and teacher self-efficacy, child behavior problems at home and in day care, parent discipline strategies, parent stress, parent depression, teacher behavior, and quality of parent-toddler interactions. Parent questionnaires were administered by interview and offered in English or Spanish. A list of the variables and corresponding measures is presented in Table 2.

A number of incentives were used to encourage participation in the study. All parents were paid \$30 for completing each set of assessments. If they completed all four phases of data collection, parents also received a free copy of their videotaped parent-child play sessions. Assessments were conducted at the day care center or their home, whichever was more convenient for the parent. Additional incentives were offered in parent training centers to encourage active participation. Parent groups were conducted at their children's day care center in the evenings. Free childcare for the target child and siblings and dinner was provided during parent groups. Reimbursement for cab fare was offered for

those families who did not wish to take public transportation home after dark following the parent group.

The Intervention. Based on the principles of Patterson's Coercive Family Process Model (Patterson, 1982), the parent training program is designed to promote positive parent-child communication, reduce parental reliance on harsh discipline strategies, and reduce child behavior problems. Approximately 10-12 parents meet weekly over 12 weeks in groups led by trained group leaders. The topics covered during the group sessions include (1) how to play with your child, (2) how to help your child learn, (3) encouraging positive behavior in young children, (4) setting effective limits, (5) handling misbehavior, (6) problem-solving with adults and children, and (7) reducing stress.

During each session, videotaped vignettes are shown of parent and child "models" engaged in situations typical of many families with young children. These vignettes are used to trigger discussion and problem-solving among parents in the groups. For each vignette, one or more parenting principles are identified for discussion. Group leaders are trained to facilitate the discussion and help parents apply the program principles to their particular child and/or family. A leader manual and group leader checklist are used to standardize program content and implementation across groups. Weekly homework assignments are also used to help parents generalize what they have learned in the parent group to the home setting (for more information about the program, see Webster-Stratton & Hancock, 1998).

Results. Preliminary findings include data from pre- to post-intervention for 158 study parents and 92 day care teachers and 130 coded parent-child observations. Data were analyzed using repeated measures MANOVA and chi-square.

The data suggest that the parent training program was more effective for parents than for teachers. Teachers who received the program had higher childcare self-efficacy ($\chi^2 (2, \underline{N}=92) = 7.49, p<.02$). However, there were no significant improvements in observed teacher behavior, parent outcomes, or child outcomes as a result of teacher training. These findings suggest that teacher training had limited efficacy for increasing positive teacher-child interactions and reducing child behavior problems.

Parents who received parent training reported significantly less reliance on coercive discipline strategies, $F(1, 158) = 5.51, p<.02$, and gave fewer negative, $F(1, 130) = 6.98, p<.01$, indirect $F(1, 130) = 6.53, p<.01$, and direct commands, $F(1, 130) = 22.9, p<.001$ to their toddlers during play than parents in the comparison groups. Children of parents who received parent training also used fewer aversive behaviors with their parents, $F(1, 130) = 4.18, p<.05$ and demonstrated fewer behavior problems in the classroom than comparison children, $F(1, 158) = 3.27, p<.07$.

On average, parents attended approximately half ($n=6$) of the scheduled parent group sessions. The amount of intervention received was examined for its relationship to improvements in outcome variables. Dosage (i.e., number of

parent groups sessions attended) was associated with improvements in parent stress from pre- to post-intervention ($r=.26$, $p<.02$).

To evaluate whether there were clinically significant improvements from parent training, cut-off scores were used to identify children at elevated risk for behavior disorder. Of the 21 children who exceeded the cut-off score on classroom behavior problems at pre-intervention (as defined by 1 standard deviation above the mean), 80% of the children from the parent training conditions fell below cut-off at post-intervention compared to 25% of comparison group children. In addition, the classroom behavior of 10% of comparison children got worse from pre- to post-intervention (moving from below cut-off scores at baseline to exceeding the cut-off score at post-intervention) while the classroom behaviors of only 2% of the intervention children got worse.

Of children who exceeded cut-offs on observed child deviance during observed parent-child play (as defined by >20 aversive + non-compliant behaviors in 15 minutes), 100% of the children from the parent training conditions fell below cut-off at post-intervention compared to 50% of comparison children. Despite the changes in child behavior observed by teachers and coders, parents who received training did not report a significant improvement in their children's behavior.

Nonetheless, consumer satisfaction ratings were high. Of parents and teachers who completed the program, 100% would "recommend" or "highly recommend" the program to others.

Discussion. The results suggest that following parent training, parents used less coercive discipline strategies with their toddlers and were less negative and directive during play. The toddlers, in turn, used fewer aversive behaviors with their parents. Consistent with the coercive family process model, these data suggest that aversive child behavior can be reduced through modification of parent behaviors.

The data also suggest that parent training effects generalized to the day care classroom. Children whose parents participated in the training program demonstrated significantly fewer behavior problems in the classroom than children of parents who did not receive parent training. This improvement was particularly apparent when data were analyzed by cut-off scores and when intervention effects could be observed for children who are a greatest risk for antisocial behavior. When classroom behavior data were analyzed by cut-off scores, 80% of the intervention children improved compared to only 25% of comparison children.

It was interesting to note that children's behavior problems only improved when parents received training. Teacher training appeared to have little affect on teachers, parents, or children. Given the lack of observed changes in teacher behavior following the training program, it is reasonable to speculate that teachers did not use the program principles with the children and, therefore, children's behavior did not change. A training program using teacher models in classroom situations may be more effective for promoting changes in teacher

behavior than a program that depicts parents with one or two children in a home environment.

These preliminary results suggest that this parent training program leads to short-term improvements in parent and toddler behavior in a community sample of low-income families of color. Future research will continue to evaluate the effectiveness of parent training with a large sample up to one year post-intervention.

Table 1. Description of the Sample

Variable	Frequency	%
Race/Ethnicity		
African-American	88	56
Asian	2	1
Latino	47	30
Multiracial	7	4
White	6	4
Other	8	5
Child Gender		
Female	68	43
Male	90	57
Parent Education		
Less than High School	23	15
High School or GED	46	29
Associate Degree	16	10
Vocational Degree	10	6
Some College	54	34
College Degree	9	6
Marital Status		
Married	48	30
Single	89	56
Living with Partner	13	8
Other	8	5

Table 2. List of Variables and Measures

Variable	Measure
Parenting Self-Efficacy	Toddler Care Questionnaire (Gross, & Rocissano, 1988)
Child Behavior Problems (Parent report)	Eyberg Child Behavior Inventory (Robinson, Eyberg, & Ross, 1980)
Discipline Strategies	Parenting Scale (Arnold, et al., 1993)
Parent Stress	Everyday Stressor Index (Hall et al., 1991)
Parental depression	Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (Radloff, 1977)
Child Behavior Problems (Teacher report)	Kohn Symptom Checklist (Kohn, 1977)
Parent-Child Interactions	Dyadic Parent-Child Interaction Coding System (Eyberg & Robinson, 1992)
Teacher Self-Efficacy	Childcare Self-Efficacy Questionnaire
Teacher Classroom Behavior	Select items from the Dyadic Parent-Child Interaction Coding System
Quality of Day Care Environment	Early Childhood Environment Rating Scale (Harms & Clifford, 1980)

References

1. Arnold, D., O'Leary, S., Wolff, L., & Acker, M. (1993). The parenting scale: A measure of dysfunctional parenting in discipline situations. Psychological Assessment, 5, 137-144.
2. Briesmeister, J., & Schaefer, C. (1998). Handbook of parent training (2nd ed.) New York: John Wiley & Sons.
3. Eyberg, S., & Robinson, E. (1992). Manual for the Dyadic Parent-Child Interaction Coding System. Seattle, WA: University of Washington.
4. Forehand, R., & Kotchnick, B. (1996). Cultural diversity: A wake-up call for parent training. Behavior Therapy, 27, 187-206.
5. Gross, D., Fogg, L., & Tucker, S. (1995). The efficacy of parent training for promoting positive parent-toddler relationships. Research in Nursing & Health, 18, 489-499.
6. Gross, D., & Rocissano, L. (1988). Maternal confidence in toddlerhood: Its measurement for clinical practice and research. Nurse Practitioner, 13, 19-29.
7. Hall, L. (1990). Prevalence and correlates of depressive symptoms in mothers of young children. Public Health Nursing, 7, 71-79.
8. Harms, T., & Clifford, R. (1980). Early childhood environment rating scale. New York: Teachers College Press.
9. Kazdin, A. (1997). Parent management training: Evidence, outcomes, and issues. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 36, 1349-1356.
10. Kohn, M. (1977). The Kohn Social Competence Scale and Kohn Symptom Checklist for the preschool child: A follow-up report. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 5, 249-263.
11. Patterson, G. (1982). Coercive family process. Eugene, OR: Castalia.
12. Radloff, L. (1977). The CES-D Scale: A new self-report depression scale for research in the general population. Applied Psychological Measurement, 1, 385-401.
13. Robinson, E., Eyberg, S., & Ross, W. (1980). The standardization of an inventory of child conduct problem behaviors. Journal of Clinical Child Psychology, 9, 22-29.

14. Tucker, S., Gross, D., Fogg, L., et al. (1998). The long-term efficacy of behavioral parent training intervention for families with 2 year olds. Research in Nursing & Health, 21, 199-210.
15. Webster-Stratton, C. (1990). Long-term follow-up of families with young conduct problem children: From preschool to grade school. Journal of Clinical Child Psychology, 19, 144-149.
16. Webster-Stratton, C., & Hancock, L. (1998). Training for parents of young children with conduct problems: Content, methods, and therapeutic processes. In C.E. Schaefer & J.M. Briesmeister (Eds.), Handbook of parent training (pp. 98-152). NY: John Wiley & Sons.



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: Parent Training with Low-Income Multi-Ethnic Parents of Toddlers

Author(s): Deborah Gross, Louis Fogg, Carolyn Webster-Stratton, & Jane Grady

Corporate Source:
Rush-Presbyterian-St. Luke's Medical Center

Publication Date:
1999

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be
affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be
affixed to all Level 2A documents

The sample sticker shown below will be
affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample _____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN
MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA
FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY.
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample _____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN
MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample _____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 1



Level 2A



Level 2B



Check here for Level 1 release, permitting
reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other
ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper
copy.

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting
reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in
electronic media for ERIC archival collection
subscribers only

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting
reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature: *Deborah Gross*
Organization/Address: 600 S. Paulina Ave, Suite 1042B
Chicago, IL 60612

Printed Name/Position/Title: Deborah Gross, DNSc, RN, Professor
Telephone: (312) 942-6201 FAX: (312) 942-2549
E-Mail Address: dgross@rushu.rush.edu Date: 11/15/99

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

*Karen E. Smith, Assistant Director
ERIC/EECE
Children's Research Center
University of Illinois
51 Gerty Dr.
Champaign, Illinois, U.S.A. 61820-7469

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1100 West Street, 2nd Floor
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>